

## **Report of the Chief Auditor**

## **Governance and Audit Committee – 27 September 2022**

# Internal Audit Recommendation Follow-Up Report Q1 2022/23

**Purpose:** This report provides committee with the status of

the recommendations made in those audits where the follow-ups have been undertaken in Q1 2022/23, to allow the Governance and Audit Committee to monitor the implementation of

recommendations made by Internal Audit.

Policy Framework: None

**Consultation:** Legal, Finance and Access to Services

**Report Author:** Simon Cockings

Finance Officer: Ben Smith

**Legal Officer:** Debbie Smith

Access to Services

Officer:

Catherine Window

For Information

## 1. Introduction

- 1.1 The Governance and Audit Committee's Performance Review for 2017/18 was completed in June 2018. One of the recommendations arising from the review was in relation to the tracking of the recommendations made by Internal and External Audit.
- 1.2 This report provides an overview of how recommendations made by Internal and External Audit are tracked and followed-up.

## 2. Standard Follow-up Procedures

- 2.1 An amended internal audit follow-up procedure was introduced in 2014 as a result of concerns being raised over the failure of management to implement audit recommendations.
- 2.2 The current procedures identify two methods of following-up on the implementation of recommendations made as a result of internal audit reviews for the fundamental audits and non-fundamental audits.


#### 3. Fundamental Audits

- 3.1 These audits are undertaken on a yearly or two-yearly cycle. All fundamental audits are subject to a Recommendation Tracker Exercise each year, which is normally completed as at the end of September.
- 3.2 The exercise involves discussion with the client department to go through the agreed Action Plan together with a limited amount of testing to confirm whether the recommendations have been implemented.
- 3.3 The results of the Recommendation Tracker Exercise is reported to Governance and Audit Committee in a separate Recommendation Tracker Report.

#### 4. Non-fundamental Audits

- 4.1 All other audits that have been given a 'limited' or 'moderate' level of assurance are reported to Governance and Audit Committee as part of the Quarterly Monitoring Reports. All such audits are subject to a detailed follow-up visit within 6 months of the issue of the final report.
- 4.2 The follow-up visit concentrates on 'high risk' and 'medium risk' recommendations, and will include discussion with the client department and limited testing to confirm implementation.
- 4.3 The results of the follow-up visit are reported to Governance and Audit Committee as part of the Quarterly Monitoring Reports.
- 4.4 Where an audit has been given a 'high' or 'substantial' level of assurance, client departments are asked to confirm the implementation of the recommendations via e-mail.
- 4.5 The results of all follow-ups undertaken are logged and recorded on the Audit Management System (Galileo) to ensure completion is monitored appropriately.
- 4.6 The results of the 'high' and 'substantial' assurance audit follow-ups undertaken in Q1 can be found in Appendix 1. A summary of the results can be found in the table and corresponding chart below.

	Recommendation Risk Rating			
Recommendation	High	Medium	Low	Good
Status	Risk	Risk	Risk	Practice
Accepted	0	6	23	21
Implemented	0	6	21	18
Not Implemented	0	0	2	3



## 5. External Audit Recommendation Tracking

Whilst it is not practicable to track every external audit recommendation without additional resources and a suitable ICT solution, Scrutiny Programme Committee will receive WAO audit reports and action plans to address recommendations and proposals and will review progress against recommendations within 12 months of the receipt of the report and action plan as their work plan allows. Governance and Audit Committee will also receive reports and action plans for information and it may decide that it wants to prioritise and track specific proposals / recommendations in addition to the oversight undertaken by Scrutiny. This does not include those WAO reports that would be intended specifically for Governance and Audit Committee.

## 6 Integrated Assessment Implications

6.1 The Council is subject to the Equality Act (Public Sector Equality Duty and the socio-economic duty), the Well-being of Future Generations (Wales) Act 2015 and the Welsh Language (Wales) Measure, and must in the exercise of their functions, have due regard to the need to:

- Eliminate unlawful discrimination, harassment and victimisation and other conduct prohibited by the Acts.
- Advance equality of opportunity between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not.
- Foster good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not.
- Deliver better outcomes for those people who experience socioeconomic disadvantage
- Consider opportunities for people to use the Welsh language
- Treat the Welsh language no less favourably than English.
- Ensure that the needs of the present are met without compromising the ability of future generations to meet their own needs.
- 6.2 The Well-being of Future Generations (Wales) Act 2015 mandates that public bodies in Wales must carry out sustainable development. Sustainable development means the process of improving the economic, social, environmental and cultural well-being of Wales by taking action, in accordance with the sustainable development principle, aimed at achieving the 'well-being goals'.
- 6.3 Our Integrated Impact Assessment (IIA) process ensures we have paid due regard to the above. It also takes into account other key issues and priorities, such as poverty and social exclusion, community cohesion, carers, the United Nations Convention on the Rights of the Child (UNCRC) and Welsh language.
- 6.4 The completion of the Integrated Impact Assessment Screening revealed that:
  - The Quarterly Recommendation Tracker Report has a low positive impact across all groups.
  - It has been subject to consultation with the Chief Finance & S151 Officer, Legal and Access to Services.
  - All Well-being of Future Generations Act considerations are positive and any risks identified are low.
  - The overall impact of the Quarterly Recommendation Tracker Report is positive as it will support the Authority in its requirement to protect public funds.

## 7. Financial Implications

7.1 There are no financial implications associated with this report.

## 8. Legal Implications

8.1 There are no legal implications associated with this report

**Background Papers:** None

**Appendix 1 –** Summary of Recommendations Accepted and Implemented. **Appendix 2 –** Recommendations Not Implemented

**Appendix 3 –** Integrated Impact Assessment